

### **REMARKS**

The Examiner submitted a non-final Office Action dated August 23, 2007 to the Applicant. Claims 1 -5 were pending in the Application. The Examiner rejected Claims 1-5.

In response, the Applicant has canceled Claims 1-5. The Applicant provided new claims 6-11. Accordingly, Claims 6-11 remain pending in the present application. The Applicant submits that based upon the remarks below, Claims 6-11 are in condition for allowance.

#### Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph

Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention.

In response, Claims 1-5 are cancelled making the rejection under §112 moot. New Claims 6-11 have been added which particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention.

Accordingly, it is submitted that based upon the remarks above, Claims 6-11 are in condition for allowance.

#### Claims Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §101

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed recitation is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. §101.

In response, Claims 1-5 are cancelled making the rejection under §101 moot. New Claims 6-11 have been added which are proper process claims.

Accordingly, it is submitted that based upon the remarks above, Claims 6-11 are in condition for allowance.

Corresponding action is respectfully solicited.

USPTO is authorized to charge any additional fees incurred as a result of the filing hereof or credit any overpayment to our account #02-0900.

Respectfully submitted,

/daniel j. holmader/

Daniel J. Holmader  
Reg. No. 59,518

BARLOW, JOSEPHS & HOLMES, Ltd.  
101 Dyer Street  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Providence, RI 02903  
401-273-4446 (tel)  
401-273-4447 (fax)  
[djh@barjos.com](mailto:djh@barjos.com)